Flora Vista Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Infor	School Contact Information				
School Name	Flora Vista Elementary				
Street	1690 Wandering Rd.				
City, State, Zip	Encinitas, CA 92024-2417				
Phone Number	(760) 944-4329				
Principal	Chris Juarez				
E-mail Address	chris.juarez@eusd.net				
Web Site	www.eusd.net				
CDS Code	37 68080 6099402				

District Contact Information			
District Name Encinitas Union Elementary School District			
Phone Number	60.944.4300		
Superintendent	Timothy Baird		
E-mail Address	timothy.baird@eusd.net		
Web Site	www.eusd.net/pages/default.aspx		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

At Flora Vista Elementary School, four times recognized as a California Distinguished School, we are committed to preparing today's learners to become quality producers, collaborative team members, effective communicators, constructive thinkers & problem solvers, and responsible members of society. We strive to incorporate a DREAMS model of education throughout students' experience at Flora Vista, in which the process skills of Design Thinking & Research are the primary drivers of teaching & learning that connect the content areas (Engineering, Arts, Math, and Science in particular). Technology is used by all students in that process, as they access and produce content daily in a 1:1 iPad environment. Our students have the opportunity to learn in environments ranging from our school garden to our EUSD Farm Lab satellite campus, all supported by an energetic and passionate staff. To support the development of the whole child, students participate in yoga and health & wellness lessons, and consultants provide supplemental instruction in the arts, science, and physical education. Flora Vista, nestled in the heart of Village Park, serves approximately 450 students in kindergarten through sixth grade and has the feel of a true neighborhood school, with most children living within walking distance and many riding their bikes to and from school. Our community actively supports Walk and Bike to School Days, and the concept of "Safe Routes to School" for pedestrians and bicycle riders.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	48
Grade 1	62
Grade 2	59
Grade 3	70
Grade 4	66
Grade 5	76
Grade 6	57
Total Enrollment	438

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7
Asian	4.8
Filipino	0.5
Hispanic or Latino	8.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	77.6
Two or More Races	6.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	5.5
English Learners	2.1
Students with Disabilities	8
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	19	20	21	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Lacation of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0				
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: 12/16

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin (K-5) 2003 McDougal Littell (6) 2002	Yes	0
Mathematics	Scott Foresman-Addison Wesley 2009	Yes	0
Science	Houghton Mifflin 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Harcourt 2006	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Flora Vista Elementary School provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The school facilities were built in 1979 and currently include the library, multipurpose room, staff lounge, 22 permanent classrooms, three portable classrooms, a preschool building with three classrooms, and three playgrounds. During the 2005-06 school year, the office was modernized. The intercom system was updated during 2009-10. The media center was remodeled during the 2010-11 school year.

District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. Repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. District maintenance has indicated that 100% of all toilets on school grounds are in working order.

All schools within the Encinitas Union School District will have various site upgrades during the next 2-3 years based on the Capital Facilities and Technology Plan submitted as a component of Proposition P that was passed by the voters in November 2010.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Wost Re	cent rear						
School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: March, 2016							
Repair Status Repair Needed and							
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х						
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ X Vermin Infestation							

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: March, 2016							
Control Institute of	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: March, 2016							
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating	Х						

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

CANONI TEST RESULTS III Eligiisti Eurigua	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)							
Subject	Sch	ool		trict	State			
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16		
English Language Arts/Literacy	75	87	71	76	44	48		
Mathematics	78	78 83 69 72 34 36						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	69	68	98.5	82.3
	4	65	61	93.8	90.2
	5	76	69	90.8	84.1
	6	58	54	93.1	94.4
Male	3	35	34	97.1	85.3
	4	31	29	93.5	86.2
	5	38	36	94.7	80.6
	6	29	27	93.1	96.3
Female	3	34	34	100.0	79.4
	4	34	32	94.1	93.8
	5	38	33	86.8	87.9
	6	29	27	93.1	92.6
White	3	57	56	98.3	85.7
	4	50	46	92.0	91.3
	5	60	54	90.0	83.3
	6	44	42	95.5	97.6

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	69	68	98.5	83.8
	4	65	61	93.8	86.9
	5	76	69	90.8	72.5
	6	58	54	93.1	88.9
Male	3	35	34	97.1	88.2
	4	31	29	93.5	86.2
	5	38	36	94.7	83.3
	6	29	28	96.5	89.3
Female	3	34	34	100.0	79.4
	4	34	32	94.1	87.5

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
	5	38	33	86.8	60.6	
	6	29	26	89.7	88.5	
White	3	57	56	98.3	85.7	
	4	50	46	92.0	87.0	
	5	60	54	90.0	72.2	
	6	44	42	95.5	92.9	

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

					coring at P				
Subject		School			District			State	
	2013-14	2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2013-14 2014-15 2015-					2015-16		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	94	94 96 87 84 83 80 60 56 54							54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	76	68	89.5	86.8
Male	38	35	92.1	91.4
Female	38	33	86.8	81.8
White	60	53	88.3	90.6

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards					
5	5.3	21.1	64.5			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Flora Vista offers a variety of events, programs and activities throughout the school year for parents to become actively involved in their child's school and education. Our Back-to-School Fall Social, Spring Auction, Performing Arts Nights, Academic Contests, Spring Social, Wellness Week, and Walk to School Week are just a few of the many activities and events open to parents. Parent and school-community organizations are extremely supportive of the educational program at Flora Vista. Organizations include the Parent Teacher Association (PTA), Encinitas Educational Foundation, Dad's Club, Restaurant Nights, Matching Corporate Donations, and more.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School			School District			State			
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Suspensions	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	4.4	3.8	3.7	
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Flora Vista Elementary School. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Drills (lock down, drop cover and hold, fire, evacuation) are conducted on a monthly basis throughout the school year. Earthquake and disaster drills are held once each year. Monitoring of school grounds is performed by the Custodian, Noon Supervisors, and other assigned staff before, during, and after school. All visitors must sign in at the office, obtain a visitor's pass, and sign out upon leaving. Gates are secured throughout the school day. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards.

The Comprehensive Safety Plan was developed by the school in consultation with local law enforcement in order to comply with Senate Bill 187 of 1997. The plan provides students and staff a means to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Components of the Comprehensive Safety Plan include the following: child-abuse reporting procedures; teacher notification of dangerous-pupil procedures; disaster-response procedures; procedures for safe ingress and egress from school; sexual harassment policy; and dress-code policy

Flora Vista Elementary School reviews the plan annually and updates it as needed. The plan is reviewed with school staff on an annual basis. Safety meetings are held four times per year at the District and the school sites. A copy of the Safety Plan is available to the public at the school office.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	33.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	2013-14			201	4-15		2015-16			
Grade	Avg.	Num	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Num	ber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	24		3		22		3		24		2	
1	24		2		23		2		25	1	2	
2	22		3		23		3		24	1	2	
3	24		3		24		2		23		3	
4	29		2		28		3		28	1	2	
5	29		2		28		2		31	1	2	
6	31		2		30		2		29		2	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.3	N/A
Psychologist	1	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	3	N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,651		\$8,651	\$64,298
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,006	\$76,404
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	8.1	-15.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,137
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	52.4	-14.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

In addition to general fund State funding, Encinitas Union School District receives State and Federal categorical funding for special programs. For the 2012-13 school year, the District received approximately \$899 per student in Federal and State aid for the following categorical, special education, and support programs: Peer Assistance and Review, Special Education, Special Education Transportation, Safe & Drug Free Schools, Gifted and Talented Education, School Improvement Program, Economic Impact Aid, Instructional Materials, Class Size Reduction.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,887	\$44,573
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$69,036	\$72,868
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,390	\$92,972
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$119,117	\$116,229
Superintendent Salary	\$213,200	\$201,784
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In Encinitas teachers participate in professional development at least once per month. Four months are dedicated to district-wide training, while six months are dedicated to school specific training. Teachers also participate in choice and extended learning opportunities, weekly collaboration and planning, as well as individual professional development around personalized learning topics.